arXiv:1512.07318v1 [math.RT] 23 Dec 2015

ON THE DEFINITION OF ALMOST CHARACTERS

G. Lusztig

Introduction

0.1. Let $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ be the group of rational points of a connected reductive group $\mathcal{G}$ defined over a finite field $\mathbf{F}_q$. The vector space of $\mathbf{C}$-valued class functions on $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ has a basis β given by the characters of the irreducible representations of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{F}_q)$. It has a second basis β' (whose elements are defined only up to multiplication by roots of 1) given by the characteristic functions of the Frobenius-invariant character sheaves on $\mathcal{G}$. To compare these two bases it is convenient to introduce a third basis β'' (whose elements are again defined only up to multiplication by roots of 1). Now the functions in β'' are given by explicit linear combinations of elements of β; they are called almost characters. In this paper we give a definition of β''. (A definition of almost characters assuming that $\mathcal{G}$ has connected centre appeared in [L2].) The interest of the basis β'' is that it conjecturally coincides with β'.

This paper was written in response to a question that Meinolf Geck asked me; I wish to thank him for asking the question and for comments on an earlier version.

0.2. Notation. $\mathbf{k}$ denotes a fixed algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 0$. All algebraic groups are assume to be affine and over $\mathbf{k}$. If H is an algebraic group we denote by H^0 the identity component of H. For $g \in H$ we denote by g_s (resp. g_u) the semisimple (resp. unipotent) part of g, so that $g = g_s g_u = g_u g_s$.

If x is an element of a group Γ we denote by $Z_\Gamma(x)$ the centralizer of x in Γ.

1. Nonabelian Fourier transform

1.1. In this paper a representation of a group Γ is always assumed to be in a finite dimensional $\mathbf{C}$-vector space.

We now assume that Γ is a finite group. Let $M(\Gamma)$ be the set consisting of all pairs (x, σ) where $x \in \Gamma$ and σ is an irreducible representation of $Z_\Gamma(x)$ (up to isomorphism); these pairs are taken modulo the equivalence relation $(x, \sigma) \mapsto (vxv^{-1}, {}^v\sigma)$ for any $v \in \Gamma$ (here ${}^v\sigma$ is the irreducible representation of $Z_\Gamma(vxv^{-1})$

Supported in part by National Science Foundation grant 1303060.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

defined by composing σ with $z \mapsto v^{-1}zv$). Following [L1] we define a pairing $\{,\} : M(\Gamma) \times M(\Gamma) \to \mathbf{C}$ by

$$\{(x,\sigma),(y,\tau)\}$$
(a)
$$= \sum_{w\in\Gamma; xwyw^{-1}=wyw^{-1}x} |Z_\Gamma(x)|^{-1}||Z_\Gamma(y)|^{-1}\mathrm{tr}(w^{-1}x^{-1}w,\tau)\mathrm{tr}(wyw^{-1},\sigma).$$

1.2. Let c be an integer ≥ 1. Let $\mu_c = \{\alpha \in \mathbf{C}; \alpha^c = 1\}$.

A $\mathbf{Z}/c$-grading for a finite group Γ is by definition a surjective homomorphism $\zeta : \Gamma \to \mathbf{Z}/c$ such that for some $x \in \zeta^{-1}(1)$ we have $x^c = 1$. For such Γ, ζ and for $i = 0, 1$ let $M^i_\zeta(\Gamma)$ be the subset of $M(\Gamma)$ consisting of all $(x,\sigma) \in M(\Gamma)$ such that $x \in \zeta^{-1}(i)$ and

(a) $Z_\Gamma(x) \cap \zeta^{-1}(1) \neq \emptyset$, $\sigma|_{Z_\Gamma(x)\cap\zeta^{-1}(0)}$ is irreducible.

(When $i = 1$ the conditions (a) are automatically satisfied.)

For $\alpha \in \mu_c$ let $\epsilon_\alpha : \Gamma \to \mathbf{C}^*$ be the homomorphism which takes any $x \in \zeta^{-1}(1)$ to α; the restriction of ϵ_α to various subgroups of Γ is denoted again by ϵ_α.

Let $V(\Gamma)$ be the vector space of formal linear combinations $\sum_{(x,\sigma)\in M(\Gamma)} b_{x,\sigma}(x,\sigma)$ with $b_{x,\sigma} \in \mathbf{C}$. For $i = 0, 1$ we define a subspace $V^i_\zeta(\Gamma)$ of $V(\Gamma)$ by the conditions

$b_{x,\sigma} = 0$ if $(x,\sigma) \notin M^i_\zeta(\Gamma)$,

$b_{x,\sigma\otimes\epsilon_\alpha} = \alpha^{i-1}b_{x,\sigma}$ for any $\alpha \in \mu_c$.

Let $A : V(\Gamma) \to V(\Gamma)$ be the linear map given by

$$A(x,\sigma) = \sum_{(y,\tau)\in M(\Gamma)} \{(x,\sigma),(y,\tau)\}(y,\tau)$$

for any $(x,\sigma) \in M(\Gamma)$. For $i = 0, 1$ we show that

(b) $A(V^i_\zeta(\Gamma)) \subset V^{1-i}_\zeta(\Gamma)$.

Since $V^i_\zeta(\Gamma)$ is generated by the vectors $\sum_{\alpha\in\mu_c} \alpha^{i-1}(x,\sigma\otimes\epsilon_\alpha)$ for various $(x,\sigma) \in M^i_\zeta(\Gamma)$, it is enough to show that for $(x,\sigma) \in M^i_\zeta(\Gamma)$ we have

$A(\sum_{\alpha\in\mu_c} \alpha^{i-1}(x,\sigma\otimes\epsilon_\alpha)) \in V^{1-i}_\zeta(\Gamma)$ or equivalently

(c) $\sum_{(z,\rho)\in M(\Gamma)} b'_{z,\rho}(z,\rho) \in V^{1-i}_\zeta(\Gamma)$

where

$$b'_{z,\rho} = \sum_{w\in\Gamma; xwzw^{-1}=wzw^{-1}x} |Z_\Gamma(x)|^{-1}|Z_\Gamma(z)|^{-1}$$
$$\times \mathrm{tr}(w^{-1}x^{-1}w,\rho) \sum_{\alpha\in\mu_c} \alpha^{i-1}\mathrm{tr}(wzw^{-1},\sigma\otimes\epsilon_\alpha).$$

The last sum is equal to $c\delta_{1-i,\zeta(z)}\mathrm{tr}(wzw^{-1},\sigma)$ hence, if $b'_{z,\rho} \neq 0$, then $z \in \zeta^{-1}(1-i)$. If in addition we have $i = 1$ then $Z_\Gamma(z)$ contains $w^{-1}xw \in \zeta^{-1}(1)$ (for some w) which belongs to $Z_\Gamma(z)$. Thus $Z_\Gamma(z) \cap \zeta^{-1}(1) \neq 0$; moreover in this case we have

$\mathrm{tr}(w^{-1}x^{-1}w,\rho)\neq 0$ for some w and $w^{-1}x^{-1}w\in\zeta^{-1}(-1)$; this forces $\rho|_{Z_\Gamma(z)\cap\zeta^{-1}(0)}$ to be irreducible.

For $i=0,1$ we have $\mathrm{tr}(w^{-1}x^{-1}w,\rho\otimes\epsilon_{\alpha'})=\alpha'^{-i}\mathrm{tr}(w^{-1}xw,\rho)$ for any $\alpha'\in\mu_c$, so that $b'_{z,\rho\otimes\epsilon_{\alpha'}}=\alpha'^{-i}b'_{z,\rho}$ for any α',z,ρ. We see that (c) holds and (b) is proved. From [L1] it is known that $A^2=1$. Combining this with (b) we see that

(d) $A:V_\zeta^1(\Gamma)\to V_\zeta^0(\Gamma)$, $A:V_\zeta^0(\Gamma)\to V_\zeta^1(\Gamma)$ *are inverse isomorphisms.*
(This was stated without proof in [L2, (4.16.1)]).

1.3. A $\mathbf{Z}$-grading for a group Δ is by definition a surjective homomorphism $\eta:\Delta\to\mathbf{Z}$ such that $\eta^{-1}(0)$ is finite. We now fix such Δ,η. Let Δ^* be the set of central elements $\xi\in\Delta$ such that $\xi=y^c$ for some $y\in\eta^{-1}(1)$ and some $c\geq 1$. We have $\Delta^*\neq\emptyset$; indeed, if $y\in\eta^{-1}(1)$, then y^c is in the centre of Δ for some $c\geq 1$. Moreover,

(a) *we can find $d\geq 1$ such that $y\mapsto y^d$ is a constant function on $\eta^{-1}(1)$; for this d we have $y^d\in\Delta^*$ for all $y\in\eta^{-1}(1)$.*
If $\xi\in\Delta^*, c'\geq 1$ then $\xi^{c'}\in\Delta^*$. Moreover,

(b) *if $\xi\in\Delta^*,\xi'\in\Delta^*$ then $\xi^a=\xi'^{a'}$ for some $a\geq 1,a'\geq 1$.*
Indeed, we have $\xi=y^c$, $\xi'=y'^{c'}$ for some $c\geq,c'\geq 1$ and some y,y' in $\eta^{-1}(1)$. Hence if d is as in (a), we have $\xi^{c'd}=y^{cc'd}=y'^{cc'd}=\xi'^{cd}$, so that (b) holds with $a=c'd,a'=cd$.

For $i=0,1$ let $M_\eta^i(\Delta)$ be the set of all pairs (x,σ) where $x\in\eta^{-1}(i)$ and σ (an irreducible representation up to isomorphism of $Z_\Delta(x)\cap\eta^{-1}(0)$) are such that

(c) *$Z_\Delta(x)\cap\eta^{-1}(1)\neq\emptyset$, σ can be extended to a representation of $Z_\Delta(x)$;*
these pairs are taken modulo the equivalence relation $(x,\sigma)\sim(vxv^{-1},{}^v\sigma)$ for any $v\in\Delta$ (here ${}^v\sigma$ is the irreducible representation of $Z_\Delta(vxv^{-1})\cap\delta^{-1}(0)$ defined by composing σ with $z\mapsto v^{-1}zv$).

(When $i=1$ the conditions (c) are automatically satisfied.)

For any $\xi\in\Delta^*$ we set $\Delta_\xi=\Delta/\langle\xi\rangle$ where $\langle\xi\rangle$ is the cyclic subgroup of the centre of Δ generated by ξ; this is a finite group. For any $x\in\Delta$ we denote by x_ξ the image of x in Δ_ξ. Now η induces a surjective homomorphism $\zeta:\Delta_\xi\to\mathbf{Z}/\eta(\xi)$ which is a $\mathbf{Z}/\eta(\xi)$-grading for Δ_ξ. (We can find $y\in\eta^{-1}(1)$ such that $y^{\eta(\xi)}=\xi$; then $y_\xi^{\eta(\xi)}=1$ and $\zeta(y_\xi)=1$.)

For $i=0,1$ let $U_\eta^i(\Delta)$ be the vector space of formal linear combinations $\sum_{(y,\tau)\in M_\eta^i(\Delta)}b_{y,\tau}(y,\tau)$ with $b_{x,\sigma}\in\mathbf{C}$. To any $(x,\sigma)\in M_\eta^0(\Delta)$ we associate an element $(x,\sigma)\hat{}\in U_\eta^1(\Delta)$ (defined up to multiplication by a root of 1) as follows.

We choose $\xi\in\Delta^*$ and an extension of σ to a representation $\tilde\sigma$ of $Z_\Gamma(x)$ on

which ξ acts as 1; we set

$$(x,\sigma)^{\frown}_{\xi,\tilde\sigma}$$
$$= \sum_{(y,\tau)\in M^1_\eta(\Delta)} \sum_{w\in\Delta/\langle\xi\rangle; xwyw^{-1}=wyw^{-1}x} \eta(\xi)|Z_\Delta(x)/\langle\xi\rangle|^{-1}|Z_\Delta(y)/\langle\xi\rangle|^{-1}$$

(d)

$$\times \operatorname{tr}(w^{-1}x^{-1}w,\tilde\tau)\operatorname{tr}(wyw^{-1},\tilde\sigma)(y,\tau)\in U^1_\eta(\Delta)$$

where $\tilde\tau$ is the representation of $Z_\Delta(y)$ extending τ on which y acts as 1.

Assume now that $\xi,\tilde\sigma$ are replaced by another pair $\xi',\tilde\sigma'$ with the same properties as $\xi,\tilde\sigma$. We want to show that

(e) $(x,\sigma)^{\frown}_{\xi',\tilde\sigma'}$ equals $(x,\sigma)^{\frown}_{\xi,\tilde\sigma}$ times a root of 1.

Using (b) we can assume that $\xi'=\xi^m$ for some $m\ge 1$. From the definitions we see that

$(x,\sigma)^{\frown}_{\xi^m,\tilde\sigma} = (x,\sigma)^{\frown}_{\xi,\tilde\sigma}$

and

$(x,\sigma)^{\frown}_{\xi^m,\tilde\sigma}$ is equal to $(x,\sigma)^{\frown}_{\xi^m,\tilde\sigma'}$ times a root of 1

so that (e) follows. We shall write $(x,\sigma)^{\frown}$ instead of $(x,\sigma)^{\frown}_{\xi,\tilde\sigma}\in U^1_\eta(\Delta)$; this is well defined only up to multiplication by a root of 1.

1.4. For any $(x,\sigma)\in M^0_\eta(\Delta)$ we choose $\xi\in\Delta^*$ and an extension of σ to a representation $\tilde\sigma$ of $Z_\Gamma(x)$ on which ξ acts as 1; we can assume that ξ is independent of (x,σ). We show:

(a) *The elements* $(x,\sigma)^{\frown}_{\xi,\tilde\sigma}$ *for various* $(x,\sigma)\in M^0_\eta(\Delta)$ *form a* $\mathbf{C}$*-basis of* $U^1_\eta(\Delta)$.

We set $c=\eta(\xi)$. Let $\zeta:\Delta_\xi\to\mathbf{Z}/c$ be as in 1.3. We define a linear map $f:U^0_\eta(\Delta)\to V^0_\zeta(\Delta_\xi)$ by

$(x,\sigma)\mapsto\sum_{\alpha\in\mu_c}\alpha^{-1}(x_\xi,\tilde\sigma\otimes\epsilon_\alpha)$

(here we view $\tilde\sigma$ as an irreducible representation of $Z_{\Delta_\xi}(x_\xi)$); this is easily seen to be an isomorphism. We define a linear map $f':V^1_\zeta(\Delta_\xi)\to U^1_\eta(\Delta)$ by

$$\sum_{(y,\tau)\in M^1_\zeta(\Delta_x)} b_{y,\tau}(y,\tau)\mapsto \sum_{(y,\tau)\in M^1_\zeta(\Delta_\xi);y=1\text{ on }\tau} b_{y,\tau}(\tilde y,\tau|_{Z_{\Delta_\xi}(y)\cap\zeta^{-1}(0)})$$

where for $(y,\tau)\in M^1_\zeta(\Delta_\xi)$ we define $\tilde y\in\eta^{-1}(1)$ by $\tilde y_\xi=y$. (Note that $Z_{\Delta_\xi}(y)\cap\zeta^{-1}(0)=Z_\Delta(\tilde y)\cap\eta^{-1}(0)$.) This is easily seen to be an isomorphism. The composition

$$V^0_\Delta\xrightarrow{f}V^0_{\Delta_\xi}\xrightarrow{A}V^1_{\Delta_\xi}\xrightarrow{f'}V^1_\Delta$$

is an isomorphism (see 1.2(d)). It takes $(x,\sigma)\in M^0_\eta(\Delta)$ to $(x,\sigma)^{\frown}_{\xi,\tilde\sigma}$. This proves (a).

2. Special elements

2.1. In this section we assume that $p = 0$. We fix an integer $q \neq 0$.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a connected reductive group. For any element $h \in \mathcal{H}$ let $\mathcal{B}_u$ be the variety of Borel subgroups of $\mathcal{H}$ that contain h.

Let $\mathcal{H}_u^{sp}$ be the set of special unipotent elements of $\mathcal{H}$, in the sense of [L2, (13.1.1)]; for $u \in \mathcal{H}_u^{sp}$ let $\bar{A}(u)$ be the canonical quotient group of $Z_{\mathcal{H}}(u)/Z_{\mathcal{H}}^0(u)$ defined in [L2, 13.1] (paragraph following (13.1.2)) and let $I_{\mathcal{H}}(u)$ be the kernel of the obvious homomorphism $Z_{\mathcal{H}}(u)/Z_{\mathcal{H}}^0(u) \to \bar{A}(u)$. (When we refer to [L2, 13.1], we assume that the ground field in *loc. cit.* is replaced by our $\mathbf{k}$.) For further information on $A(u)$ see [L4]. We show:

(a) *Assume that $u \in \mathcal{H}_u^{sp}$. Then $u^q \in \mathcal{H}_u^{sp}$ and we have canonically*
$Z_{\mathcal{H}}(u)/Z_{\mathcal{H}}^0(u) = Z_{\mathcal{H}}(u^q)/Z_{\mathcal{H}}^0(u^q)$, $I_{\mathcal{H}}(u) = I_{\mathcal{H}}(u^q)$.

We have clearly $Z_{\mathcal{H}}(u) \subset Z_{\mathcal{H}}(u^q)$. Since $q \neq 0$ and $p = 0$, u is conjugate to u^q in H; it follows that $Z_{\mathcal{H}}(u) = Z_{\mathcal{H}}(u^q)$. This implies the first equality in (a). We have clearly $\mathcal{B}_u \subset \mathcal{B}_{u^q}$. Since $\mathcal{B}_u, \mathcal{B}_{u^q}$ are isomorphic, we must have $\mathcal{B}_u = \mathcal{B}_{u^q}$. Using this and the definition we see that the second equality in (a) holds.

2.2. In the remainder of this paper G denotes a connected reductive group over $\mathbf{k}$. Moreover we fix an automorphism $j : G \xrightarrow{\sim} G$ of finite order.

2.3. We say that $g \in G$ is special if

(i) $g_s^{q^r} = g_s$ for some $r \geq 1$ and

(ii) g_u is a special unipotent element of the connected reductive group $Z_G^0(g_s)$.

Let G^{sp} be the set of special elements of G. For $g \in G^{sp}$, setting $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = Z_G(g_s)$, $\mathcal{H} = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^0$, we have obvious imbeddings

$$I_{\mathcal{H}}(g_u) \subset Z_{\mathcal{H}}(g_u)/Z_{\mathcal{H}}^0(g_u) \subset Z_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}(g_u)/Z_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}^0(g_u) = Z_G(g)/Z_G^0(g);$$

we denote by $Z_G'(g)$ the inverse image of $I_{\mathcal{H}}(g_u)$ under the obvious surjective homomorphism $Z_G(g) \to Z_G(g)/Z_G^0(g)$ and by $Z'_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}(g_u)$ the inverse image of $I_{\mathcal{H}}(g_u)$ under the obvious surjective homomorphism $Z_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}(g_u) \to Z_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}(g_u)/Z_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}^0(g_u)$, We have $Z_G'(g) = Z'_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}(g_u)$. This is a normal subgroup of $Z_G(g) = Z_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}(g_u)$.

For $g \in G^{sp}$ we set

$$\bar{Z}_G(g) = Z_G(g)/Z_G'(g),$$

a finite group.

We show:

(a) *Assume that $g \in G^{sp}$. Then $g^q \in G^{sp}$ and $Z_G(g) = Z_G(g^q)$, $Z_G'(g) = Z_G'(g^q)$.*

Let $r \geq 1$ be such that $g_s^{q^r} = g_s$. We have clearly $Z_G(g_s) \subset Z_G(g_s^q) \subset Z_G(g_s^{q^r}) = Z_G(g_s^q)$ hence $Z_G(g_s) = Z_G(g_s^q)$. We have $Z_G(g_u) \subset Z_G(g_u^q)$; as in the proof of 2.1(a), g_u, g_u^q are conjugate in $Z_G^0(g_s)$, hence they are also conjugate in G and $Z_G(g_u) = Z_G(g_u^q)$. Since $Z_G(g) = Z_G(g_s) \cap Z_G(g_u)$ and $Z_G(g^q) = Z_G(g_s^q) \cap Z_G(g_u^q)$, we deduce that $Z_G(g) = Z_G(g^q)$, hence $Z_G^0(g) = Z_G^0(g^q)$ and $Z_G(g)/Z_G^0(g) =$

$Z_G(g^q)/Z_G^0(g^q)$. Let $\mathcal{H}=Z_G^0(g_s)=Z_G^0(g_s^q)$. By 2.1(a), we have $I_{\mathcal{H}}(g_u)=I_{\mathcal{H}}(g_u^q)$. It follows that $Z'_G(g)=Z'_G(g^q)$, as required.

We show:

(b) *Let $g\in G^{sp}$. Then $g_s^q g_u\in G^{sp}$ and $Z_G(g)=Z_G(g_s^q g_u)$, $Z'_G(g)=Z'_G(g_s^q g_u)$.* Let $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}=Z_G(g_s)$. As in the proof of (a) we have $Z_G(g_s^q)=\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. We have $Z_G(g)=Z_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}(g_u)$ and $Z_G(g_s^q g_u)=Z_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}(g_u)$; moreover, $Z'_G(g)=Z'_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}(g_u)$ and $Z'_G(g_s^q g_u)=Z'_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}(g_u)$; (b) follows.

The following result is immediate.

(c) *If $\mathfrak{s}:G\to G$ is an automorphism and $g\in G^{sp}$ then $g'\mapsto\mathfrak{s}(g')$ defines an isomorphism $Z'_G(g)\to Z'_G(\mathfrak{s}(g))$.*

2.4. Let $g\in G$ and let $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}=Z_G(g_s),\mathcal{H}=\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^0$. We show:

(a) $j(g^q)$ is G-conjugate to $j(g_s^q g_u)$.

It is enough to show that g^q is G-conjugate to $g_s^q g_u$. It is also enough to show that g_u^q is $\mathcal{H}$-conjugate to g_u. This holds since $p=0$.

Let G_*^{sp} be the set of all $g\in G^{sp}$ which satisfy:

(i) g is G-conjugate to $j(g^q)$; g is G-conjugate to $j(g_s^q g_u)$ (if $p=0$);

(The two conditions in (i) are equivalent by (a).)

Clearly, G_*^{sp} is a union of G-conjugacy classes.

2.5. Let $g\in G_*^{sp}$. For any $e\in\mathbf{Z}$ we set

$$H_e(g)=\{x\in G;xgx^{-1}=j^e(g^{q^e})\},\quad {}'H_e(g)=\{x\in G;xgx^{-1}=j^e(g_s^{q^e}g_u)\}.$$

Note that $H_1(g)\neq\emptyset,{}'H_1(g)\neq\emptyset$. We set $H(g)=\sqcup_{e\in\mathbf{Z}}H_e(g),{}'H(g)=\sqcup_{e\in\mathbf{Z}}{}'H_e(g)$. We regard $H(g)$ as a group with multiplication defined by $x*x'=j^{e'}(x)x'$ for $x\in H_e(g)$, $x'\in H_{e'}(g)$; we regard ${}'H(g)$ as a group with multiplication defined by $x*x'=j^{e'}(x)x'$ for $x\in{}'H_e(g)$, $x'\in{}'H_{e'}(g)$. Note that the map $H(g)\to\mathbf{Z}$ (resp. ${}'H_e(g)$) which takes $H_e(g)$ (resp. ${}'H_e(g)$) to e for any $e\in\mathbf{Z}$ is a (surjective) group homomorphism with kernel $H_0(g)=Z_G(g)$ (resp. ${}'H_0(g)=Z_G(g)$). Thus, $Z'_G(g)$ can be regarded as a subgroup of $H(g)$ and also as a subgroup of ${}'H(g)$. It is in fact a normal subgroup. (It is enough to show that for $x_0\in Z'_G$ and x in $H_e(g)$ or on ${}'H_e(g)$ we have $x^{-1}j^e(x_0)x\in Z'_G$. We have $j^e(x_0)\in Z'_G(j^e(g))$ hence $x^{-1}j^e(x_0)x\in Z'_G(x^{-1}j^e(g)x)$; by 2.3(a),(b), the last group equals $Z'_G(x^{-1}j^e(g^q)x)=Z'_G(g)$ if $x\in H_e(g)$ and to $Z'_G(x^{-1}j^e(g_s^q g_u)x)=Z'_G(g)$ if $x\in{}'H_e(g)$.)

We set $\Delta(g)=H(g)/Z'_G(g)$, ${}'\Delta(g)={}'H(g)/Z'_G(g)$. These have group structures induced from those of $H(h),{}'H)g)$. The homomorphisms $H(g)\to\mathbf{Z}$, ${}'H(g)\to\mathbf{Z}$ (as above) induces (surjective) group homomorphisms $\eta:\Delta(g)\to\mathbf{Z}$, ${}'\eta:{}'\Delta(g)\to\mathbf{Z}$ with finite kernel $Z_G(g)/Z'_G(g)=\bar{Z}_G(g)$. Thus $\eta,{}'\eta$ are $\mathbf{Z}$-gradings for $\Delta(g),{}'\Delta(g)$.

2.6. Let $g\in G_*^{sp}$. Let $\mathcal{H}=Z_G^0(g_s)$ and let $\zeta:\lambda\mapsto\zeta_\lambda$ be a homomorphism of algebraic groups $\mathbf{k}^*\to\mathcal{H}$ (coming from the Jacobson-Morozov theorem applied to

$u \in \mathcal{H}$) such that $\zeta_\lambda u \zeta_\lambda^{-1} = u^\lambda$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*$). We define a map $\Psi : {}'H(g) \to H(g)$ by $x \mapsto y$ where $x \in {}'H_e(g), y = j^e(\zeta_{q^e})x, e \in \mathbf{Z}$. The computation

$$\begin{aligned} ygy^{-1} &= j^e(\zeta_{q^e})xgx^{-1}j^e(\zeta_{q^e}^{-1}) = j^e(\zeta_{q^e})j^e(g_s^{q^e}g_u)j^e(\zeta_{q^e}^{-1}) \\ &= j^e(\zeta_{q^e}g_s^{q^e}g_u\zeta_{q^e}^{-1}) = j^e(g_s^{q^e}g_u^{q^e}) = j^e(g^{q^e}) \end{aligned}$$

shows that Ψ is well defined and it maps ${}'H_e(g)$ to $H_e(g)$. It is in fact a bijection with inverse given by $y \mapsto x$ where $y \in H_e(g)$, $x = j^e(\zeta_{q^{-e}})y$, $e \in \mathbf{Z}$. For $x \in {}'H_e(g), x' \in {}'H_{e'}(g)$ we have

$\Psi(x * x') = j^{e+e'}(\zeta_{q^{e+e'}})j^{e'}(x)x'$,

$\Psi(x) * \Psi(x') = j^{e+e'}(\zeta_{q^e})j^{e'}(x\zeta_{q^{e'}})x'$

hence

$$\Psi(x * x') = \Psi(x) * \Psi(x')c \tag{a}$$

where

$$c = x'^{-1}j^{e'}(x\zeta_{q^{e'}})^{-1}j^{e+e'}(\zeta_{q^{e'}})j^{e'}(x)x' = x'^{-1}j^{e'}(\zeta_{q^{e'}}^{-1}x^{-1}j^e(\zeta_{q^{e'}})x)x'.$$

We show that

$$c \in Z_G(g)^0. \tag{b}$$

We first show that for any $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$

$$c_\lambda := x'^{-1}j^{e'}(\zeta_\lambda^{-1}x^{-1}j^e(\zeta_\lambda)x)x'$$

belongs to $Z_G(g)$, or equivalently that

$$x'c_\lambda x'^{-1} \in Z_G(x'gx'^{-1}) = Z_G(j^{e'}(g_s^{q^{e'}}g_u)) = j^{e'}(Z_G(g)),$$

It is enough to show that $\zeta_\lambda^{-1}x^{-1}j^e(\zeta_\lambda)x \in Z_G(g)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\zeta_\lambda^{-1}x^{-1}j^e(\zeta_\lambda)xg = \zeta_\lambda^{-1}x^{-1}j^e(\zeta_\lambda)j^e(g_s^{q^e}g_u)x \\ &= \zeta_\lambda^{-1}x^{-1}j^e(\zeta_\lambda g_s^{q^e}g_u)x = \zeta_\lambda^{-1}x^{-1}j^e(g_s^{q^e}g_u^\lambda z_\lambda)x \\ &= \zeta_\lambda^{-1}g_s x^{-1}j^e(g_u^\lambda z_\lambda)x = \zeta_\lambda^{-1}g_s g_u^\lambda x^{-1}j^e(z_\lambda)x = gz_\lambda^{-1}x^{-1}j^e(z_\lambda)x \end{aligned}$$

so that we have indeed $\zeta_\lambda^{-1}x^{-1}j^e(\zeta_\lambda)x \in Z_G(g)$. Thus we have $c_\lambda \in Z_G(g)$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$. Note that $c_0 = 1$. Since $\lambda \mapsto c_\lambda$ is a morphism $\mathbf{C} \to Z_G(g)$ whose image contains 1, its image must be contained in $Z_G^0(g)$. Since $c = c_{q^{e'}}$, we see that (b) holds. From (a),(b) we see that for x, x' in ${}'H(g)$ we have

$$\Psi(x * x') \in \Psi(x) * \Psi(x') * Z_G'(g) \tag{c}$$

(recall that $Z_G^0(g) \subset Z'_G(g)$.) From the definitions we have $\Psi(x) = x$ for any $x \in {}'H_0(g)$; in particular, $\Psi(Z'_G(g)) = Z'_G(g)$. Using this and (c) we see that for $x \in {}'H(g)$ we have $\Psi(x * Z'_G(g)) \subset \Psi(x) * Z'_G(g)$ so that Ψ induces a map $\bar\Psi : {}'\Delta(g) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta(g)$; here we view ${}'\Delta(g)$ (resp. $\Delta(g)$) as the set of orbits of the right translation action of $Z'_G(g)$ on ${}'H_(g)$ (resp. $H(g)$). From (c) we see that $\bar\Psi$ is a group homomorphism (in fact a group isomorphism). Note also that $\bar\Psi$ induces the identity map $\bar Z_G(g) = {}'\Delta(g) \to \Delta(g) = \bar Z_G(g)$ and it is compatible with the $\mathbf{Z}$-gradings.

We now try to see to what extent does Ψ depend on the choice of ζ. By a result of Kostant, any other choice of ζ must be of the form $\zeta' : \lambda \mapsto h\zeta_\lambda h^{-1}$ for some $h \in Z^0_{\mathcal{H}}(g_u) = Z^0_G(g)$. If we define Ψ in terms of ζ' we obtain a bijection $\Psi' : {}'H(g) \to H(g)$ given on ${}'H_e(g)$ by

$$\Psi' : x \mapsto j^e(\zeta'_{q^e})x = j^e(h)\Psi(x)h^{-1}hx^{-1}j^e(h^{-1})x = h * \Psi(x) * h^{-1} * d$$

where $d = hx^{-1}j^e(h^{-1})x$. We show that

(d) $$hx^{-1}j^e(h^{-1})x \in Z^0_G(g) \text{ for any } h \in Z^0_G(g).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} hx^{-1}j^e(h^{-1})xg &= hx^{-1}j^e(h^{-1})j^e(g_s^{q^e}g_u)x = hx^{-1}j^e(h^{-1}g_s^{q^e}g_u)x \\ &= hx^{-1}j^e(g_s^{q^e}g_uh^{-1})x = hgx^{-1}j^e(h^{-1})x = ghx^{-1}j^e(h^{-1})x. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $hx^{-1}j^e(h^{-1})x \in Z_G(g)$ for any $h \in Z^0_G(g)$. We see that $h \mapsto hx^{-1}j^e(h^{-1})x$ is a morphism $Z^0_G(g) \to Z_G(g)$. Its image is connected and its value at $h = 1$ is 1; hence its image is contained in $Z^0_G(g)$. This proves (d). From (d) we see that Ψ and Ψ' induce the same isomorphism ${}'\Delta(g) \to \Delta(g)$. Thus the isomorphism ${}'\Delta(g) \to \Delta(g)$ that we constructed is independent of choices so it is canonical; we use it to identify these two groups.

2.7. If Δ is a group with a given $\mathbf{Z}$-grading $\eta : \Delta \to \mathbf{Z}$, we say that an automorphism $f : \Delta \to \Delta$ is inner if it is of the form $x \mapsto x_0xx_0^{-1}$ for some $x_0 \in \eta^{-1}(0)$. We consider an index set I and a family $(\Delta_\alpha, \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\alpha'})$ where for any $\alpha \in I$, Δ_α is a group with a given $\mathbf{Z}$-grading η and for any α, α' in I, $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\alpha'}$ is a nonempty set of group isomorphisms $\Delta_{\alpha'} \to \Delta_\alpha$ compatible with the $\mathbf{Z}$-gradings. We say that this family is coherent if the following conditions are satisfied.

(i) For any $\alpha \in I$, $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\alpha}$ consists of the inner automorphisms of Δ_α.

(ii) For any α, α' in I and any $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\alpha'}$ we have $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\alpha'} = \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\alpha}f = f\mathcal{R}_{\alpha',\alpha'}$.

(iii) For any $\alpha, \alpha', \alpha''$ in I, composition defines an inclusion $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\alpha'}\mathcal{R}_{\alpha'\alpha''} \subset \mathcal{R}_{a,\alpha''}$.

In this case, for $i = 0, 1$ and for any α, α' in I, the bijection $M^i_\eta(\Delta_{\alpha'}) \xrightarrow{\sim} M^i_\eta(\Delta_\alpha)$ (notation of 1.3) induced by $f : \Delta_{\alpha'} \to \Delta_\alpha$ (in $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\alpha'}$) is independent of the choice of f. (We use the fact that an inner automorphism of $\Delta_\alpha, \eta_\alpha$ induces the identity map on $M^i_\eta(\Delta_\alpha)$.) It follows that the sets $M^i_\eta(\Delta_\alpha)$ with $\alpha \in I$ can be canonically identified with a single set associated to the family.

2.8. Let $\underline{G}^{sp}$, $\underline{G}^{sp}_*$ be the set of G-conjugacy classes contained in G^{sp}, G^{sp}_*. Let $C \in \underline{G}^{sp}_*$. We consider the family $(\Delta(g), \mathcal{R}_{g,g'})$ indexed by C, where for $g \in C$, $\Delta(g)$ is the group with a $\mathbf{Z}$-grading defined in 2.5 and for g, g' in C, $\mathcal{R}_{g,g'}$ consists of the isomorphisms $\tau_k : \Delta(g') \to \Delta(g)$ (for various $k \in G$ such that $kg'k^{-1} = g$) where τ_k is induced by the isomorphism $H(g') \to H(g)$ whose restriction $H_e(g') \to H_e(g)$ is $x \mapsto j^e(k)xk^{-1}$. From the definitions we see that this family is coherent. Hence for $i = 0, 1$, the sets $M^i_\eta(\Delta(g))$ with $g \in C$ can be canonically identified (as in 2.7) with a single set $M^i(C)$ associated to C. We set

$$AC(G) = \sqcup_{C \in \underline{G}^{sp}_*} M^0(C), \tag{a}$$

$$\mathcal{C}(G) = \sqcup_{C \in \underline{G}^{sp}_*} M^1(C). \tag{b}$$

(Note that $AC(G), \mathcal{C}(G)$ depend on q and j.) The set $\mathcal{C}(G)$ appeared in [L3].

2.9. We define $\phi : G^{sp} \to G^{sp}$ by $g \mapsto j(g^q)$. This is well defined by 2.3(a). Clearly, ϕ induces a map $\underline{G}^{sp} \to \underline{G}^{sp}$ denoted again by ϕ. We show:

(a) *Any orbit of $\phi : \underline{G}^{sp} \to \underline{G}^{sp}$ is finite. In particular, $\phi : \underline{G}^{sp} \to \underline{G}^{sp}$ is bijective.*

Let $g \in G^{sp}$. We choose $k \geq 1$ such that $j^k = 1$ and $g_s^{q^k} = g_s$. Then we have $\phi^k(g) = j^k(g^{q^k}) = g_s g_u^{q^k}$ and this is conjugate to g. This proves (a). From the definitions we have

(b) *$\underline{G}^{sp}_*$ is exactly the fixed point set of $\phi : \underline{G}^{sp} \to \underline{G}^{sp}$.*

We show:

(c) *$\phi : G^{sp} \to G^{sp}$ is a bijection.*

Let $g \in G^{sp}, g' \in G^{sp}$ be such that $j(g^q) = j(g'^q)$. Then $g^q = g'^q$ hence $g_s^q = g_s'^q$ and $g_u^q = g_u'^q$. The last equality implies $g_u = g'_u$ since $p = 0$. From $g_s^q = g_s'^q$ we deduce using $g_s^{q^r} = g_s$, $g_s'^{q^{r'}} = g'_s$ (where we can assume $r = r' \geq 1$) that $g_s = g'_s$ hence $g = g'$. Thus the map in (c) is injective. Since $\phi : \underline{G}^{sp} \to \underline{G}^{sp}$ is surjective it follows that the map in (c) is surjective. This proves (c).

2.10. Let $C \in \underline{G}^{sp}$. Let $\tilde{C} = \sqcup_{g \in C} \bar{Z}_G(g)$. We can view $\tilde{C}$ as an algebraic variety which is a finite covering of C under the obvious map $\iota : \tilde{C} \to C$. Note that G acts on $\tilde{C}$ by $h : (g, zZ'_G(g)) \mapsto (hgh^{-1}, hzh^{-1}Z'_G(hgh^{-1}))$; here $g \in G, z \in Z_G(g)$. This is well defined since $Z'_G(hgh^{-1}) = hZ'_Gh^{-1}$. Now the orbits of G on $\tilde{C}$ are exactly the connected components of $\tilde{C}$. Let $M(C)$ be the set of all pairs $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E})$ where $\mathcal{O}$ is a G-orbit in $\tilde{C}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ is an irreducible G-equivariant local system on $\mathcal{O}$. For any $g \in C$ there is a canonical bijection $M(C) \xrightarrow{\sim} M(\bar{Z}_G(g))$ (notation of 1.1) which associates to $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E})$ the equivalence class of (x, σ) where x belongs to $\mathcal{O} \cap \iota^{-1}(g)$ (a $\bar{Z}_G(g)$-conjugacy class in $\bar{Z}_G(g)$) and σ is the stalk of $\mathcal{E}$ at x viewed as an irreducible representation of $Z_{\bar{Z}_G(g)}(x)$. Thus the sets $M(\bar{Z}_G(g))$ with $g \in C$ are all in canonical bijection with $M(C)$. Let

$$CS(G) = \sqcup_{C \in \underline{G}^{sp}} M(C).$$

2.11. For any $g \in G^{sp}$ we define a homomorphism $\bar{Z}_G(g) \to \bar{Z}_G(\phi(g))$ by $zZ'_G(g)) \mapsto j(z)Z'_G(j(g^q))$ with $z \in Z_G(g)$. We show:

(a) *this map is a bijection.*

Since $\bar{Z}_G(g), \bar{Z}_G(\phi(g))$ are finite, it is enough to show that our map is injective. Let z, z' in $Z_G(g)$ be such that $j(z) = j(z') \mod Z'_G(j(g^q))$. Then $z = z' \mod Z'_G(g^q) = Z'_G(g)$, see 2.3(a). This proves injectivity hence (a).

We define $\tilde{\phi} : \sqcup_{C \in \underline{G}^{sp}} \tilde{C} \to \sqcup_{C \in \underline{G}^{sp}} \tilde{C}$ by $(g, zZ'_G(g)) \mapsto (j(g^q), j(z)Z'_G(j(g^q)))$; here $g \in C, z \in Z_G(g)$. Using (a) and 2.9(a) we see that $\tilde{\phi}$ is a bijection.

For any $C \in \underline{G}^{sp}$, $\tilde{\phi}$ restricts to a (bijective) morphism $\tilde{C} \to \tilde{C}'$ where $C' = \phi(C)$. Note that the G-action on $\tilde{C}$ is compatible under $\tilde{\phi}$ with the G-action on $\tilde{C}'$ composed with the automorphism j of G; in particular, $\tilde{\phi}$ carries any G-orbit on $\tilde{C}$ to a G-orbit on $\tilde{C}'$. We see that $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E}) \mapsto (\tilde{\phi}(\mathcal{O}), \tilde{\phi}_!\mathcal{E})$ defines a bijection $M(C) \xrightarrow{\sim} M(C')$. Taking disjoint unions we see that $\tilde{\phi}$ induces a bijection

$$CS(G) \xrightarrow{\sim} CS(G). \tag{b}$$

The fixed points of this bijection are the pairs $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E}) \in M(C)$ with $\phi(C) = C$ such that $\mathcal{O} = \tilde{\phi}(\mathcal{O})$, $\mathcal{E} \cong \tilde{\phi}_!\mathcal{E}$. The condition that $\phi(C) = C$ is the same as $C \in G_{j,q}$, see 2.9(b). Assuming that this is the case, let $g \in C$. Then $\Delta(g), \eta$ and $M^1_\eta(\Delta(g))$ are well defined and $\bar{Z}_G(g)$, $M(\bar{Z}_G(g))$ are well defined. Note that $\bar{Z}_G(g)$ is the subgroup $\eta^{-1}(0)$ of $\Delta(g)$. Hence $M^1_\eta(\Delta(g))$ can be viewed as the subset of $M(\bar{Z}_G(g)) = M(C)$ defined by the two conditions in 1.3(c). In terms of $M(C)$ those two conditions are exactly the conditions that $\mathcal{O} = \tilde{\phi}(\mathcal{O})$, $\mathcal{E} \cong \tilde{\phi}_!\mathcal{E}$ (as above). In this way we see that

(c) *$AC(G)$ (see 2.8) can be naturally identified with the fixed point set of the map (b) of $CS(G)$ into itself.*

2.12. In the reminder of this section we assume that q is a power of a prime number and that $\mathcal{G}$ is a connected reductive group over $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$ of type dual to that of G with a fixed $\mathbf{F}_q$-rational structure which is twisted according to j. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the vector space of class functions $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{F}_q) \to \mathbf{C}$. Now $\mathcal{F}$ has a basis β given by the characters of the irreducible representations of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{F}_q)$. We define a function $\delta : \beta \to \{1, -1\}$ as follows: if χ is the character of an irreducible representation ϵ of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ and $D(\epsilon)$ is its dual (as in [DL]) then $\delta(\chi)$ is characterized by the property that $\delta(\chi)D(\epsilon)$ is an irreducible representation.

Another basis β' of $\mathcal{F}$ (whose elements are defined only up to multiplication by roots of 1) is provided by the characteristic functions of the character sheaves on $\mathcal{G}$ which are isomorphic to their inverse image under the Frobenius map $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$. It is known that β is indexed by $\mathcal{C}(G)$, the character sheaves on $\mathcal{G}$ are indexed by $CS(G)$ and the Frobenius-invariant character sheaves on $\mathcal{G}$ are indexed by the fixed point set of ϕ on $CS(G)$. Thus, β' is indexed by $AC(G)$.

The decompositions 2.8(a),(b) give rise to decompositions $\beta = \sqcup_C \beta_C, \beta' = \sqcup_C \beta'_C$. We now fix $C \in \underline{G}^{sp}_*, g \in C$ and we list the elements of β_C as $\{\mathbf{b}_{x,\sigma}; (x, \sigma) \in M^1_\eta(\Delta)\}$ and the elements of β'_C as $\{\mathbf{b}'_{x,\sigma}; (x, \sigma) \in M^0_\eta(\Delta)\}$ (where $\Delta = \Delta(g)$).

For any $(x,\sigma)\in M^0_\eta(\Delta)$ we set

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{b}''_{x,\sigma}\\&=\sum_{(y,\tau)\in M^1_\eta(\Delta)}\sum_{w\in\Delta/\langle\xi\rangle;xwyw)^{-1}=wyw^{-1}x}\eta(\xi)|Z_\Delta(x)/\langle\xi\rangle|^{-1}|Z_\Delta(y)/\langle\xi\rangle|^{-1}\\&\times\mathrm{tr}(w^{-1}x^{-1}w,\tilde\tau)\mathrm{tr}(wyw^{-1},\tilde\sigma)\delta(\mathbf{b}_{y,\tau})\mathbf{b}_{y,\tau}\end{aligned}\tag{a}$$

where $\xi\in\Delta^*$ (notation of 1.3), $\tilde\sigma$ is a representation of $Z_\Delta(x)$ extending σ on which ξ acts as 1, $\tilde\tau$ is the representation of $Z_\Delta(y)$ extending τ on which y acts as 1. Note that $\mathbf{b}''_{x,\sigma}$ is independent (up to multiplication by a root of 1) of the choice of $\xi,\tilde\sigma$, see 1.3.

We expect that for any $(x,\sigma)\in M^0_\eta(\Delta)$ we have

$$\mathbf{b}'_{x,\sigma}=\mathbf{b}''_{x,\sigma}\tag{b}$$

up to multiplication by a root of 1.

Note that (b) is known in many cases but not in complete generality. It implies that:

(c) *for any $C\in\underline{G}^{sp}_*$, the subspace of $\mathcal{F}$ spanned by β_C coincides with the subspace of $\mathcal{F}$ spanned by β'_C.*

The functions $b''_{x,\sigma}$ are called *almost characters*. Note that the almost characters (for various C) form a basis of $\mathcal{F}$ indexed by $AC(G)$.

References

[DL] P.Deligne and G.Lusztig, *Duality for representations of reductive groups over a finite field*, J.Alg **74** (1982), 284-291.

[L1] G.Lusztig, *Unipotent representations of a finite Chevalley group of type E_8*, Quart.J.Math. **30** (1979), 315-338.

[L3] G.Lusztig, *Characters of reductive groups over finite fields*, Proc. Int. Congr. Math. Warsaw 1983, North Holland, 1984, pp. 877-880.

[L2] G.Lusztig, *Characters of reductive groups over a finite field*, Ann. Math.Studies 107, Princeton U.Press, 1984.

[L4] G.Lusztig, *Families and Springer's correspondence*, Pacific J.Math. **267** (2014), 431-450.

Department of Mathematics, M.I.T., Cambridge, MA 02139